arXiv:math/0503727v2 [math.QA] 25 Apr 2005

A Conjecture about Raising Operators for Macdonald Polynomials

Jun'ichi Shiraishi

Graduate School of Mathematical Science,
University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Abstract

A multivariable hypergeometric-type formula for raising operators of the Macdonald polynomials is conjectured. It is proved that this agrees with Jing and Józefiak's expression for the two-row Macdonald polynomials, and also with Lassalle and Schlosser's formula for partitions with length three.

1 Introduction

In this article, we present an observation that the raising operators for the Macdonald polynomials $Q_\lambda(x;q,t)$ [1] can be written in a form of multivariable basic hypergeometric-type series.

In the work by Lassalle and Schlosser [5] (see also [4][6]), the fully explicit formula for the raising operator for the Macdonald polynomials was obtained (Theorem 5.1 of [5]). It was derived by inverting the Pieri formula for the Macdonald polynomial. Jing and Józefiak's expression for the two-row Macdonald polynomials [2] is recovered from their general formula. The case when the indexing partition is length three, was studied in the preceding work by Lassalle [3].

In the papers [7, 8], it was observed that a certain class of n-fold integral transformations $\{I(\alpha)|\alpha \in \mathbf{C}\}$ forms a commutative family, namely $[I(\alpha), I(\beta)] = 0$. The commutativity was proved only for the simplest case $n = 2$ by using the explicit formulas for the eigenfunctions of $I(\alpha)$, and several summation and transformation formulas for the basic hypergeometric series [7]. To prove the commutativity for $n \geq 3$ remains as an open problem, since properties of the eigenfunctions have not been studied well. It was observed that a modified Macdonald difference operator $D^1(s_1, \cdots, s_n, q, t)$ (see (5) below) and the integral transformation $I(\alpha)$ are also commutative with each other. The commutativity was proved for the simplest case $n = 2$. In Appendix of [8], it was shown that the eigenfunction of $D^1(s_1, \cdots, s_n, q, t)$ can be interpreted as a raising operator for the Macdonald polynomials.

An explicit formula for the eigenfunctions of $I(\alpha)$ or $D^1(s_1,\cdots,s_n,q,t)$ was conjectured for $n=3$ in [7]. (See (45) below.) The structure of the series (45) looks very much different from the one obtained by Lassalle and Schlosser. Therefore, an explanation, which connects these, is in order. At present, this relation is still unclear. One may, however, observe that Lassalle and Schlosser's formula can be recast in a form, which is somewhat closer to the series (45). The aim of this paper is to present our observation about this.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, a conjecture for the explicit formula of the eigenfunction of $D^1(s_1,\cdots,s_n,q,t)$ is given. The conjecture is recast in the form of the raising operator for the Macdonald polynomials in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to recalling Lassalle and Schlosser's theorem for the Macdonald polynomials. Then our conjecture is compared with Lassalle and Schlosser's result. In Section 5, the case $n=2$ is treated and our conjecture is proved. In Section 6, it is proved that our conjecture for the raising operator agrees with Lassalle and Schlosser's formula for $n=3$. Some special cases $t=q,q^2,q^3,\cdots$, and $q=0$ are discussed in Section 7.

2 Basic Hypergeometric-like Series

Let n be a positive integer, and $s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_n$ be indeterminates. Introduce $c_n(\{\theta_{i,j};1\leq i<j\leq n\};s_1,\cdots,s_n,q,t)$ recursively by $c_1(-;s_1,q,t)=1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_n(\{\theta_{i,j};1\leq i<j\leq n\};s_1,\cdots,s_n,q,t)\\
=\;&c_{n-1}(\{\theta_{i,j};1\leq i<j\leq n-1\};q^{\theta_{1,n}}s_1,\cdots,q^{\theta_{n-1,n}}s_{n-1},q,t)\\
&\times\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}t^{\theta_{k,n}}\frac{(qt^{-1};q)_{\theta_{k,n}}}{(q;q)_{\theta_{k,n}}}\frac{(qt^{-1}s_k/s_n;q)_{\theta_{k,n}}}{(qs_k/s_n;q)_{\theta_{k,n}}}\\
&\times\prod_{1\leq\ell<m\leq n-1}\frac{(qt^{-1}s_\ell/s_m;q)_{\theta_{\ell,n}}}{(qs_\ell/s_m;q)_{\theta_{\ell,n}}}\frac{(q^{-\theta_{m,n}}ts_\ell/s_m;q)_{\theta_{\ell,n}}}{(q^{-\theta_{m,n}}s_\ell/s_m;q)_{\theta_{\ell,n}}},
\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where we have used the q-shifted factorial $(a;q)_n=(1-a)(1-aq)\cdots(1-aq^{n-1})$. For example, we have

$$c_2(\theta_{1,2};s_1,s_2,q,t)=t^{\theta_{1,2}}\frac{(qt^{-1};q)_{\theta_{1,2}}}{(q;q)_{\theta_{1,2}}}\frac{(qt^{-1}s_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,2}}}{(qs_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,2}}},\tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_3(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3};s_1,s_2,s_3,q,t)\\
&=t^{\theta_{1,2}}\frac{(qt^{-1};q)_{\theta_{1,2}}}{(q;q)_{\theta_{1,2}}}\frac{(q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}qt^{-1}s_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,2}}}{(q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}qs_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,2}}}\\
&\times t^{\theta_{1,3}}\frac{(qt^{-1};q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}{(q;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}\frac{(qt^{-1}s_1/s_3;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}{(qs_1/s_3;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}t^{\theta_{2,3}}\frac{(qt^{-1};q)_{\theta_{2,3}}}{(q;q)_{\theta_{2,3}}}\frac{(qt^{-1}s_2/s_3;q)_{\theta_{2,3}}}{(qs_2/s_3;q)_{\theta_{2,3}}}\\
&\times\frac{(qt^{-1}s_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}{(qs_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}\frac{(q^{-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}{(q^{-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}},
\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

and so on. The product expression for $c_n(\{\theta_{i,j}\}_{1\le i<j\le n}; s_1, \cdots, s_n)$ reads as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & c_n(\{\theta_{i,j}; 1 \le i < j \le n\}; s_1, \cdots, s_n, q, t) \\ = & \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} t^{\theta_{i,j}} \frac{(qt^{-1}; q)_{\theta_{i,j}}}{(q; q)_{\theta_{i,j}}} \frac{(q^{\sum_{a=j+1}^{n}(\theta_{i,a}-\theta_{j,a})} qt^{-1} s_i/s_j; q)_{\theta_{i,j}}}{(q^{\sum_{a=j+1}^{n}(\theta_{i,a}-\theta_{j,a})} q s_i/s_j; q)_{\theta_{i,j}}} \\ & \times \prod_{k=3}^{n} \prod_{1\le \ell<m\le k-1} \frac{(q^{\sum_{b=k+1}^{n}(\theta_{\ell,b}-\theta_{m,b})} qt^{-1} s_\ell/s_m; q)_{\theta_{\ell,k}}}{(q^{\sum_{b=k+1}^{n}(\theta_{\ell,b}-\theta_{m,b})} q s_\ell/s_m; q)_{\theta_{\ell,k}}} \\ & \times \frac{(q^{-\theta_{m,k}} q^{\sum_{b=k+1}^{n}(\theta_{\ell,b}-\theta_{m,b})} t s_\ell/s_m; q)_{\theta_{\ell,k}}}{(q^{-\theta_{m,k}} q^{\sum_{b=k+1}^{n}(\theta_{\ell,b}-\theta_{m,b})} s_\ell/s_m; q)_{\theta_{\ell,k}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In the paper [8], a modified Macdonald difference operator acting on the space of formal power series $F[[x_2/x_1, x_3/x_2, \cdots, x_n/x_{n-1}]]$ was investigated, where $F = \mathbf{Q}(q, t, s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n)$. It is defined by

$$D^1(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n, q, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \prod_{j<i} \frac{1 - q^{-1}tx_i/x_j}{1 - q^{-1}x_i/x_j} \prod_{k>i} \frac{1 - qt^{-1}x_k/x_i}{1 - qx_k/x_i} T_{q^{-1},x_i}, \tag{5}$$

where T_{q,x_i} denotes the q-shift operator $T_{q,x_i} \cdot g(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = g(x_1, \cdots, qx_i, \cdots, x_n)$ and the rational factors in (5) should be understood as the series

$$\frac{1 - q^{\pm1}t^{\mp1}x}{1 - q^{\pm1}x} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - t^{\mp1})q^{\pm n}x^n. \tag{6}$$

Let us consider a basic hypergeometric-like series

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n; s_1, \cdots, s_n, q, t) = & \prod_{1\le k<\ell\le n}(1 - x_\ell/x_k) \\ \times \sum_{(\theta)\in \mathsf{M}^{(n)}} & c_n(\{\theta_{i,j}; 1 \le i < j \le n\}; s_1, \cdots, s_n, q, t) \prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(x_j/x_i)^{\theta_{i,j}}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $(\theta) = (\theta_{i,j}) \in \mathsf{M}^{(n)}$. Here we have used the notation introduced in [5], namely $\mathsf{M}^{(n)}$ denotes the set of upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices with nonnegative integers, and 0 on the diagonal.

Then we have the following observation.

Conjecture 2.1 *The series $f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n; s_1, \cdots, s_n, q, t)$ in Eq.(7) is an eigenfunction of the difference operator D^1*

$$D^1(s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n, t, q) f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \cdot f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n). \tag{8}$$

The case $n = 2$ is easy, and will be treated in Section 5. When $n \ge 3$, however, to prove Conjecture 2.1 seems a very complicated task, and it is an open problem. We have checked it by a computer-aid calculation up to $n = 5$ for small degrees in x_i's.

In Section 6, a supporting argument for the case $n = 3$ will be given. We will prove that a consequence of Conjecture 2.1 (see Eq. (14) below) agrees with the theorem by Lassalle and Schlosser for the case $n = 3$.

3 Main Consequence

We briefly recall the notion of the Macdonald polynomials [1]. Let $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ be a set of indeterminates, and $\Lambda_n = \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]^{S_n}$ denotes the ring of symmetric polynomials. The ring of symmetric functions Λ is defined as the inverse limit of the Λ_n in the category of graded rings. Let $F = \mathbf{Q}(q,t)$ be the field of rational functions in independent indeterminates q and t, and set $\Lambda_F = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} F$.

Let $p_n = \sum_i x_i^n$ be the power sum symmetric functions, and denote $p_\lambda = p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \cdots$ for any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots)$. The scalar product is introduced by

$$\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle_{q,t} = \delta_{\lambda,\mu} \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i} m_i! \prod_{j \geq 1} \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_j}}{1 - t^{\lambda_j}}, \tag{9}$$

where $m_i = m_i(\lambda)$ is the multiplicity of the part i in the partition λ.

The Macdonald symmetric functions $P_\lambda(x; q, t) \in \Lambda_F$ are uniquely characterized by the following two conditions [1]:

$$\text{(a)} \qquad P_\lambda = m_\lambda + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} u_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu, \tag{10}$$

where m_λ is the monomial symmetric function associated with λ, $u_{\lambda\mu} \in F$, and the symbol "$<$" means the dominance ordering on the partitions.

$$\text{(b)} \qquad \langle P_\lambda, P_\mu \rangle_{q,t} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda \neq \mu. \tag{11}$$

The dual of P_λ with respect to the scalar product (9) is denoted by $Q_\lambda(x; q, t) = b_\lambda(q,t) P_\lambda(x; q, t)$, where $b_\lambda(q,t) = \langle P_\lambda, P_\lambda \rangle_{q,t}^{-1}$. As for the explicit expression for $b_\lambda(q,t)$, see (6.19) of [1].

The symmetric function $g_n(x; q, t) \in \Lambda_F$ is defined by

$$\prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{(t x_i y; q)_\infty}{(x_i y; q)_\infty} = \sum_{n \geq 0} g_n(x; q, t) y^n, \tag{12}$$

where $(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - a q^i)$. It is well known that we have $Q_{(n)}(x; q, t) = g_n(x; q, t)$ (equation (5.5) in [1]). We use the convention that $g_n(x; q, t) = 0$ for $n < 0$. We write $g_a = g_{a_1} g_{a_2} \cdots g_{a_n}$ for any $a = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$.

Nextly, we recall the definition of the raising operators. Let $a = (a_i, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. For each pair of integers i, j satisfying $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, define the action of R_{ij} by

$$R_{ij}(a) = (a_1, \cdots, a_i + 1, \cdots, a_j - 1, \cdots, a_n). \tag{13}$$

Any product of the form $\prod_{i<j} R_{ij}^{\theta_{i,j}}$ is called a raising operator. For any raising operator R, $R g_\lambda$ means $g_{R\lambda}$.

It was argued in the paper [8] that the solution to the equation (8) is interpreted as the raising operator for the Macdonald polynomials. See Proposition A.6 in Appendix in [8]. Therefore, the following is a consequence of Conjecture 2.1.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ be a partition. Set $s_i = t^{n-i} q^{\lambda_i}$. Assume that Conjecture 2.1 is true. Then the Macdonald polynomila $Q_\lambda = Q_\lambda(x; q, t)$ can be represented by the raising operator as*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)} &= \prod_{1 \le k < \ell \le n} (1 - R_{k\ell}) \qquad (14) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{(\theta) \in \mathsf{M}^{(n)}} c_n(\{\theta_{i,j}; 1 \le i < j \le n\}; s_1, \cdots, s_n, q, t) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} R_{ij}^{\theta_{i,j}} \, g_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

4 Lassale and Schlosser's Theorem

In this section, we recall Lassale and Schlosser's theorem for the raising operators of the Macdonald polynomials [5] (which was announced in [4]).

Let $u_1, \cdots, u_n$ be indeterminates, and $\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n$ be nonnegative integres. Write $v_k = q^{\theta_k} u_k$ for simplicity. Lassale and Schlosser obtained the following function by inverting the Pieri formula

$$\begin{aligned} C^{(q,t)}_{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n}(u_1, \cdots, u_n) &= \prod_{k=1}^{n} t^{\theta_k} \frac{(q/t; q)_{\theta_k}}{(q; q)_{\theta_k}} \frac{(qu_k; q)_{\theta_k}}{(qtu_k; q)_{\theta_k}} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{(qu_i/tu_j; q)_{\theta_i}}{(qu_i/u_j; q)_{\theta_i}} \frac{(tu_i/v_j; q)_{\theta_i}}{(u_i/v_j; q)_{\theta_i}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\Delta(v)} \det_{1 \le i,j \le n} \left[v_i^{n-j} \left(1 - t^{j-1} \frac{1 - tv_i}{1 - v_i} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{u_k - v_i}{tu_k - v_i} \right) \right], \qquad (15) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(v) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (v_i - v_j)$. For any $\theta = (\theta_{i,j}) \in \mathsf{M}^{(n)}$, we write

$$\zeta_k(\theta) = \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \theta_{k,j} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_{j,k}, \qquad 1 \le k \le n, \qquad (16)$$

$$\xi_{ik}(\theta) = \sum_{j=k+2}^{n} (\theta_{i,j} - \theta_{k+1,j}), \qquad 1 \le i \le k \le n-1. \qquad (17)$$

The following important result was obtained (Theorem 5.1 in [5]).

Theorem 4.1 (Lassale Schlosser) *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ be an arbitrary partition with length n. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_\lambda(q, t) \qquad (18) \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in \mathsf{M}^{(n)}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} C^{(q,t)}_{\theta_{1,k+1}, \cdots, \theta_{k,k+1}}(\{u_i = q^{\lambda_i - \lambda_{k+1} + \xi_{ik}(\theta)} t^{k-i}; 1 \le i \le k\}) \prod_{k=1}^{n} g_{\lambda_k + \zeta_k(\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing Lassalle and Schlosser's formula with our conjecture (14), we observe the following.

Conjecture 4.2 *Setting* $s_i = t^{n-i}q^{\lambda_i}$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\theta\in \mathsf{M}^{(n)}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} C^{(q,t)}_{\theta_{1,k+1},\cdots,\theta_{k,k+1}}(\{u_i = q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_{k+1}+\xi_{ik}(\theta)}t^{k-i}; 1\le i\le k\}) \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} R_{ij}^{\theta_{i,j}} \\
&= \prod_{1\le k<\ell\le n}(1-R_{k\ell}) \qquad (19)\\
&\times \sum_{\theta\in \mathsf{M}^{(n)}} c_n(\{\theta_{i,j}; 1\le i<j\le n\}; s_1,\cdots,s_n,q,t) \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} R_{ij}^{\theta_{i,j}},
\end{aligned}$$

where R_{ij} *denotes the raising operator.*

One can prove Conjecture 4.2 for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. These two cases will be treated in the following two sections. The case $n \ge 4$, however, is complicated and remains as an open problem.

It should be stressed that the identity in Conjecture 4.2 is a quite nontrivial one. In Section 6, an elementary proof for $n = 3$ will be given. It seems that some combinatorial properties for general n hopefully can be extracted from that.

In view of (1), (15), (18), and $s_i = t^{n-i}q^{\lambda_i}$, the difference between the two functions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} C^{(q,t)}_{\theta_{1,k+1},\cdots,\theta_{k,k+1}}(\{u_i = q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_{k+1}+\xi_{ik}(\theta)}t^{k-i}; 1\le i\le k\}),\\
&c_n(\{\theta_{i,j}; 1\le i<j\le n\}; s_1,\cdots,s_n,q,t),
\end{aligned}$$

only comes from the determinant factor in (15), namely

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\Delta(v)} \det_{1\le i,j\le n}\left[v_i^{n-j}\left(1 - t^{j-1}\frac{1-tv_i}{1-v_i}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{u_k-v_i}{tu_k-v_i}\right)\right] \qquad (20)\\
&= \sum_{K\subset\{1,\cdots,n\}} (-1)^{|K|}(1/t)^{\binom{|K|+1}{2}} \prod_{\substack{k\in K\\ j\notin K}} \frac{v_j - v_k/t}{v_j-v_k} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\prod_{k\in K}\frac{u_i-v_k}{u_i-v_k/t}.
\end{aligned}$$

The RHS in the above equation is the formula (4.2) of the paper [5]. In Section 6, we will use this expression for $n = 1$ and 2.

5 Case $n = 2$

Let us consider the simplest case $n = 2$. Setting $f(x_1, x_2; s_1, s_2, q, t) = (1-x_2/x_1)g(x_2/x_1)$, $g(x) = \sum_{\theta=0}^{\infty} c_\theta x^\theta$, and $c_0 = 1$, the difference equation (7) for $n = 2$ can be written as

$$s_1(1-qt^{-1}x)g(qx) + s_2(1-q^{-1}tx)g(q^{-1}x) = (s_1+s_2)(1-x)g(x). \qquad (21)$$

Comparing the coefficients of x^θ from both sides, the recurrence relation for the coefficients is obtained as

$$c_\theta = t\frac{(1-q^\theta t^{-1})(1-q^\theta t^{-1}s_1/s_2)}{(1-q^\theta)(1-q^\theta s_1/s_2)}c_{\theta-1}, \qquad \theta = 1,2,3,\cdots. \qquad (22)$$

Hence we have

$$c_\theta = t^\theta \frac{(qt^{-1};q)_\theta (qt^{-1}s_1/s_2;q)_\theta}{(q;q)_\theta (qs_1/s_2;q)_\theta}, \tag{23}$$

which is given in Eq. (2). Hence Conjecture 2.1 is true for $n = 2$.

Let us connect our series $f(x_1, x_2; s_1, s_2, q, t)$ with the formula of Jing and Józefiak [2], and Lassale and Schlosser's one for $n = 2$. Note that the series can be rewritten as

$$f(x_1, x_2; s_1, s_2, q, t) = (1 - x_2/x_1) \sum_{\theta=0}^{\infty} c_\theta (x_2/x_1)^\theta = \sum_{\theta=0}^{\infty} (c_\theta - c_{\theta-1})(x_2/x_1)^\theta,$$

if we set $c_{-1} = 0$. Then we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & c_\theta - c_{\theta-1} \\ = \ & t^\theta \frac{(qt^{-1};q)_\theta}{(q;q)_\theta} \frac{(qt^{-1}s_1/s_2;q)_\theta}{(qs_1/s_2;q)_\theta} \left(1 - t^{-1} \frac{(1-q^\theta)(1-q^\theta s_1/s_2)}{(1-q^\theta t^{-1})(1-q^\theta t^{-1}s_1/s_2)} \right) \\ = \ & t^\theta \frac{(qt^{-1};q)_\theta}{(q;q)_\theta} \frac{(qt^{-1}s_1/s_2;q)_\theta}{(qs_1/s_2;q)_\theta} \frac{(1-t^{-1})(1-q^{2\theta}t^{-1}s_1/s_2)}{(1-q^\theta t^{-1})(1-q^\theta t^{-1}s_1/s_2)} \\ = \ & t^\theta \frac{(t^{-1};q)_\theta}{(q;q)_\theta} \frac{(t^{-1}s_1/s_2;q)_\theta}{(qs_1/s_2;q)_\theta} \frac{1-q^{2\theta}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}{1-t^{-1}s_1/s_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $s_1/s_2 = tq^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}$, we recover Jing and Józefiak's formula [2] from the last line. In view of (15) for $n = 1$, one finds that the second line corresponds to Lassalle and Schlosser's expression. Namely, Conjecture 4.2 is true for $n = 2$.

6 Case $n = 3$

Next, let us examine the case $n = 3$. Unfortunately, we do not have a method to solve the difference equation (7) at this moment. One may, however, prove that Conjecture 4.2 is true for $n = 3$. Therefore it is expected that Conjecture 2.1 holds for $n = 3$.

First, let us note the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - x_2/x_1)(1 - x_3/x_1)(1 - x_3/x_2) \\ & = 1 - \frac{x_2}{x_1} - \frac{x_3}{x_1} - \frac{x_3}{x_2} + \frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_1} + \frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_2} + \frac{x_3}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_2} - \frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_2} \\ & = 1 - \frac{x_2}{x_1} - \frac{x_3}{x_2} + \alpha \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_2} - \frac{x_3}{x_1} \right) + \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right)^2 \frac{x_3}{x_2} + \frac{x_3}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_2} - \frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

with an arbitrary coefficient α. From this we have

Lemma 6.1 *The series $f(x_1, x_2, x_3; s_1, s_2, s_3, q, t)$ can be recast as*

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x_1, x_2, x_3; s_1, s_2, s_3, q, t) = (1 - x_2/x_1)(1 - x_3/x_1)(1 - x_3/x_2) \\ & \times \sum_{\theta \in \mathsf{M}^{(3)}} c(\theta_{1,2}, \theta_{1,3}, \theta_{2,3})(x_2/x_1)^{\theta_{1,2}}(x_3/x_1)^{\theta_{1,3}}(x_3/x_2)^{\theta_{2,3}} \\ & = \sum_{\theta \in \mathsf{M}^{(3)}} \tilde{c}(\theta_{1,2}, \theta_{1,3}, \theta_{2,3})(x_2/x_1)^{\theta_{1,2}}(x_3/x_1)^{\theta_{1,3}}(x_3/x_2)^{\theta_{2,3}}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where we have denoted $c_3(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3};s_1,s_2,s_3,q,t)=c(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3})$ *for simplicity, and*

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{c}(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3})\\&=c(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3})-c(\theta_{1,2}-1,\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3})-c(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3}-1)\\&+\alpha(\theta_{1,2}-1,\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3}-1)c(\theta_{1,2}-1,\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3}-1)\\&-\alpha(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3}-1,\theta_{2,3})c(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3}-1,\theta_{2,3})\\&+c(\theta_{1,2}-2,\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3}-1)+c(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3}-1,\theta_{2,3}-1)\\&-c(\theta_{1,2}-1,\theta_{1,3}-1,\theta_{2,3}-1),\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

with arbitrary coefficients $\alpha(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3})$*'s.*

Proof. In view of (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{RHS of (25)}\\&=\sum_{\theta\in\mathsf{M}^{(3)}}\left(1-\frac{x_2}{x_1}-\frac{x_3}{x_2}+\alpha(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3})\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_2}-\frac{x_3}{x_1}\right)+\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^2\frac{x_3}{x_2}+\frac{x_3}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_2}-\frac{x_2}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_1}\frac{x_3}{x_2}\right)\\&\quad\times c(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3})(x_2/x_1)^{\theta_{1,2}}(x_3/x_1)^{\theta_{1,3}}(x_3/x_2)^{\theta_{2,3}}\\&=\text{LHS of (25)},\end{aligned}$$

where we have assumed that $c(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3})=0$ if some of $\theta_{i,j}$'s are negative. □

Our claim in this section is the following.

Proposition 6.2 *If we set*

$$\alpha(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3}) = \frac{1-t^{-1}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{2\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}+1}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}+1}s_1/s_2},\tag{27}$$

the $\widetilde{c}(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3})$ *is written as*

$$\widetilde{c}(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3})=C^{(q,t)}_{\theta_{1,2}}(q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2)C^{(q,t)}_{\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3}}(t^{-1}s_1/s_3,t^{-1}s_2/s_3).\tag{28}$$

Here the RHS is Lassalle and Schlosser's function in Theorem 4.1 for $n=3$.

Proof. Set

$$\beta(i,j,k)=\frac{c(\theta_{1,2}-i,\theta_{1,3}-j,\theta_{2,3}-k)}{c(\theta_{1,2},\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3})},\tag{29}$$

for simplicity. Using (3) we have

$$\beta(1,0,0)=t^{-1}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2},\tag{30}$$

$$\beta(0,1,0)=t^{-1}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}s_1/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_3}\tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\times\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}\\
&\times\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}-1}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}-1}ts_1/s_2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta(0,0,1)=t^{-1}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}s_2/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_2/s_3}\\
&\times\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}+1}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}+1}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}+1}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}+1}s_1/s_2}\\
&\times\frac{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2},
\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta(1,0,1)=t^{-2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}+1}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}+1}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}\\
&\times\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}s_2/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_2/s_3}\frac{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2},
\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta(2,0,1)=t^{-3}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}-1}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}-1}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}}t^{-1}}\\
&\times\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}+1}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}+1}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}\\
&\times\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}s_2/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_2/s_3}\frac{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2},
\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta(0,1,1)=t^{-2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}s_1/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_3}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}s_2/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_2/s_3}\\
&\times\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2},
\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta(1,1,1)=t^{-3}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}\\
&\times\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}s_1/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_3}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}s_2/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_2/s_3}\\
&\times\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

Write

$$a_{12}=t^{-1}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,2}+\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2},\tag{37}$$

$$a_{13}=t^{-1}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}s_1/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_3},\tag{38}$$

$$a_{23}=t^{-1}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}}\frac{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2}\frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}s_2/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_2/s_3},\tag{39}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{13,23} = t^{-2} & \frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}} \frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2} \frac{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}s_1/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{1,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_3} \\
\times & \frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}} \frac{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2}{1-q^{-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2} \frac{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}s_2/s_3}{1-q^{\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_2/s_3},
\end{aligned} \tag{40}
$$

for notational simplicity. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta(1,0,0) = a_{12}, \qquad \beta(0,1,1) = a_{13,23}, \qquad \beta(1,1,1) = a_{12}a_{13,23}, \\
& \alpha(\theta_{1,2}, \theta_{1,3}-1, \theta_{2,3})\beta(0,1,0) = (1-a_{12})a_{13}, \\
& -\beta(0,0,1) + \alpha(\theta_{1,2}-1, \theta_{1,3}, \theta_{2,3}-1)\beta(1,0,1) + \beta(2,0,1) = -(1-a_{12})a_{23}.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 - \beta(1,0,0) - \beta(0,0,1) \\
& + \alpha(\theta_{1,2}-1, \theta_{1,3}, \theta_{2,3}-1)\beta(1,0,1) - \alpha(\theta_{1,2}, \theta_{1,3}-1, \theta_{2,3})\beta(0,1,0) \\
& + \beta(2,0,1) + \beta(0,1,1) - \beta(1,1,1) \\
& = (1-a_{12})(1-a_{13}-a_{23}+a_{13,23}),
\end{aligned} \tag{42}
$$

holds. By using (20), one can check that RHS of (42) is exactly the determinant factor from Lassalle and Schlosser's expression. Namely we have

$$
\text{RHS of (42)} = \frac{C^{(q,t)}_{\theta_{1,2}}(q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}t^{-1}s_1/s_2)\, C^{(q,t)}_{\theta_{1,3},\theta_{2,3}}(t^{-1}s_1/s_3, t^{-1}s_2/s_3)}{c_3(\theta_{1,2}, \theta_{1,3}, \theta_{2,3}; s_1, s_2, s_3, q, t)}. \tag{43}
$$

This implies Eq. (28). □

7 Some Special Cases

If $q = t$, the difference equation (7) can be immediately solved for geneal n. Namely, we have

$$
D^1(s_1, \cdots, s_n, q, q) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (1 - x_j/x_i) = (s_1 + \cdots + s_n) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (1 - x_j/x_i).
$$

This means that $c_n(\{\theta_{i,j}; 1 \le i < j \le n\}; s_1, \cdots, s_n, q, q) = 0$ except if $\theta_{i,j} = 0$ for all i, j. Hence Conjecture 2.1 is true for $q = t$. Since we have $Q_\lambda(x; q, q) = s_\lambda(x)$ (Schur function), and $g_n(x; q, q) = h_n$ (complete symmetric function), the Jacobi-Trudi formula for the Schur polynomials (see formula (3.4) in [1]) is recovered from our conjecture Eq. (14)

$$
s_\lambda = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (1 - R_{ij}) h_\lambda = \det_{1 \le i,j \le n} (h_{\lambda_i - i + j}). \tag{44}
$$

Next, let k be a positive integer. For $t = q^k$, the coefficients $c_n(\{\theta_{i,j}; 1 \le i < j \le n\}; s_1, \cdots, s_n, q, q^k)$ vanish if $\theta_{i,j} \ge k$ for some i, j, and the series (7) becomes truncated.

Therefore, the difference equation (8) reduces to an identity of Laurent polynomials in x_i's. (Note the denominator in D^1 is cancelled by the factor $\prod_{j<i}(1-x_i/x_j)$ in f.) Even in this case, the equation (8) is still complicated and we are not able to prove (8) at present. We have proved, by a computer-aid calculation, that Conjecture 2.1 is true for the cases: (1) $n=3$ and $t=q^2,q^3,q^4$, (2) $n=4$ and $t=q^2$.

Finally, we argue the case $q=0$. The $q=0$ limit of $c_n(\{\theta_{i,j};1\le i<j\le n\};s_1,\cdots,s_n,q,t)$ can be examined in several manners. One may apply the automorphism $\omega_{q,t}$ (defined by $\omega_{q,t}(p_r)=(-1)^{r-1}\frac{1-q^r}{1-t^r}p_r$) to (14), and use the method presented in Section 7 of [5]. Even if we consider the limit $q=0$ in this way, it seems a difficult task to prove Conjecture 4.2. Instead of going in this direction, we give another argument from which the $q=0$ limit can be studied.

In [7, 8], another type of conjecture for the series satisfying (8) was obtained for $n=3$. Let us recall the statement.

Conjecture 7.1 *The series*

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x_1,x_2,x_3;s_1,s_2,s_3,q,t) \\ = & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(qt^{-1};q)_k(qt^{-1};q)_k(t;q)_k(t;q)_k}{(q;q)_k(qs_1/s_2;q)_k(qs_2/s_3;q)_k(qs_1/s_3;q)_k}(qs_1/s_3)^k(x_3/x_1)^k \\ & \times\prod_{1\le i<j\le 3}(1-x_j/x_i)\cdot{}_2\phi_1\left({q^{k+1}t^{-1},qt^{-1}s_i/s_j \atop q^{k+1}s_i/s_j};q,tx_j/x_i\right), \end{aligned}\tag{45}$$

satisfies the difference equation (8) for $n=3$.

Here we have used the standard notation for the basic hypergeometric series

$${}_2\phi_1\left({a,b \atop c};q,x\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a;q)_n(b;q)_n}{(q;q)_n(c;q)_n}x^n.$$

It has not been proved that the above series (45) and the one given by (7) for $n=3$ are the same. One can check the agreement up to certain degree in x_i's, and we observe the following

Conjecture 7.2 *The identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\theta\in\mathsf{M}^{(3)}} t^{\theta_{1,2}}\frac{(qt^{-1};q)_{\theta_{1,2}}}{(q;q)_{\theta_{1,2}}}\frac{(q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}qt^{-1}s_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,2}}}{(q^{\theta_{1,3}-\theta_{2,3}}qs_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,2}}} \\ & \times t^{\theta_{1,3}}\frac{(qt^{-1};q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}{(q;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}\frac{(qt^{-1}s_1/s_3;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}{(qs_1/s_3;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}t^{\theta_{2,3}}\frac{(qt^{-1};q)_{\theta_{2,3}}}{(q;q)_{\theta_{2,3}}}\frac{(qt^{-1}s_2/s_3;q)_{\theta_{2,3}}}{(qs_2/s_3;q)_{\theta_{2,3}}} \\ & \times\frac{(qt^{-1}s_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}{(qs_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}\frac{(q^{-\theta_{2,3}}ts_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}{(q^{-\theta_{2,3}}s_1/s_2;q)_{\theta_{1,3}}}(x_2/x_1)^{\theta_{1,2}}(x_3/x_1)^{\theta_{1,3}}(x_3/x_2)^{\theta_{2,3}} \\ & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(qt^{-1};q)_k(qt^{-1};q)_k(t;q)_k(t;q)_k}{(q;q)_k(qs_1/s_2;q)_k(qs_2/s_3;q)_k(qs_1/s_3;q)_k}(qs_1/s_3)^k(x_3/x_1)^k \\ & \times\prod_{1\le i<j\le 3}{}_2\phi_1\left({q^{k+1}t^{-1},qt^{-1}s_i/s_j \atop q^{k+1}s_i/s_j};q,tx_j/x_i\right), \end{aligned}\tag{46}$$

holds.

Now an explanation about the author's heuristic argument is in order. Note that the factor $\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq 3}(1-x_j/x_i)$ can be seen in the series (45). From this, one may expect that the same factor can be factored out from Lassale and Schlosser's expression (18). Assuming this factorization, one can arrive at the series (7) after some exploration.

Note that for the case $q=t$, the raising operator formula for the Schur polynomials (44) is correctly derived from (45). Since the RHS vanishes except for $k=0$, and

$$ {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix}1, s_i/s_j \\ qs_i/s_j\end{matrix}; q, qx_j/x_i\right)=1, $$

we have

$$ f(x_1,x_2,x_3;s_1,s_2,s_3,q,q)=\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq 3}(1-x_j/x_i), $$

from (45).

Assume Conjecture 7.1, and consider the $q=0$ limit from (45). Since we have set $s_i=t^{n-i}q^{\lambda_i}$ for the partition $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n)$, $\lim_{q\to 0} qs_i/s_j=0$ holds for $i<j$. It can be seen that the RHS of (45) vanishes except for $k=0$, and

$$ \lim_{q\to 0} {}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix}qt^{-1}, qt^{-1}s_i/s_j \\ qs_i/s_j\end{matrix}; q, tx_j/x_i\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(tx_j/x_i)^n=\frac{1}{1-tx_j/x_i}. \tag{47} $$

Namely we have

$$ f(x_1,x_2,x_3;s_1,s_2,s_3,0,t)=\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq 3}\frac{1-x_j/x_i}{1-tx_j/x_i}, $$

from (45). It is well known that $Q_\lambda(x;0,t)=Q_\lambda(x;t)$ (Hall-Littlewood symmetric function), and $g_n(x;0,t)=q_n(x;t)$, where

$$ \prod_{i\geq 1}\frac{1-tx_iy}{1-x_iy}=\sum_{n\geq 0}q_n(x;t)y^n. \tag{48} $$

Thus the raising operator expression for the Hall-Littlewood functions with partitions with length three

$$ Q_\lambda(t)=\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq 3}\frac{1-R_{ij}}{1-tR_{ij}}q_\lambda. \tag{49} $$

is recovered from (45) (see equation (2.15′) in [1]).

Acknowledgment. The author is indebted to the referee, whose suggestions have improved the presentation of the paper. He thanks M. Lassalle for stimulating discussion and valuable comments. He is grateful to M. Noumi for having discussion and kind hospitality at Kobe Univ. This work is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 16540183.

References

[1] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials (2nd ed.), Oxford University Press, (1995).

[2] N. H. Jing and T. Jo´zefiak, A formula for two-row Macdonald functions, *Duke Math. J.* **67**, 377-385 (1992).

[3] M. Lassalle, Explicitation des polynômes de Jack et de Macdonald en longueur trois, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **333** (2001), 505-508.

[4] M. Lassalle and M. Schlosser, An analytic formula for Macdonald polynomials, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **337** (2003), 569-574.

[5] M. Lassalle and M. Schlosser, Inversion of the Pieri formula for Macdonald polynomials, math.CO/0402127, to appear in Adv. Math.

[6] M. Lassalle, A short proof of generalized Jacobi-Trudi expansions for Macdonald polynomials, math.CO/0401032.

[7] J. Shiraishi, A Commutative Family of Integral Transformations and Basic Hypergeometric Series. I. Eigenfunctions, math.QA/0501251.

[8] J. Shiraishi, A Commutative Family of Integral Transformations and Basic Hypergeometric Series. II. Eigenfunctions and Quasi-Eigenfunctions, math.QA/0502228.